

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,365	09/13/2001	Reiko Yamada	57454-235	3605
20277 MCDERMOT	7590 07/24/2007. Γ WILL & EMERY LLP		EXAMINER	
600 13TH STREET, N.W.			JACKSON, JAKIEDA R	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/936,365	YAMADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Jakieda R. Jackson	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	<u>ctober 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	,				
	•				
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to the Office Action mailed October 31, 2006, applicant submitted an amendment filed on April 27, 2007, in which the applicant traversed and requested reconsideration with respect to independent claims 1, 4, 11, 15 and 22.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicants argue that Shpiro et al. is silent on the claimed model phoneme array information which includes array of phonemes and word boundaries of sentences to be spoken by a learner, and which is used to separate sentence speech information. The secondary reference, Brandow et al. does not teach separating sentence speech information on the basis of each word including in a sentence using model phoneme array information. Brandow is silent on the claimed model phoneme array information which includes array of phonemes and word boundaries of sentences to be spoken by a learner and which is used to separate sentence speech information. Applicant further argues that Acero does not teach using text to separate sentence speech information into word speech information based on words included in the text. Applicants' arguments are persuasive, but are moot in view of new grounds of rejections.

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-10 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shpiro et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,487,671), hereinafter referenced as Shpiro in view of Brandow et al. (USPN 6,064,957), hereinafter referenced as Brandow and in further view of Bruckert (USPN 6,029,131).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 15 and 22, Shpiro discloses a foreign language learning device (figure 2, element 210), method, computer-readable medium and computer program (column 1, lines 57-62), hereinafter referenced as a foreign language learning device, comprising:

word separation means (figure 3, element 260) for receiving sentence speech information (phonetic unit), the sentence speech information corresponding to speech produced successively by a learner (student) when the learner utters a sentence (student's utterance; column 7, lines 5-15) including a plurality of words (multiplicity of words; column 5, lines 36-40), to separate said sentence speech information (phrases; column 5, lines 33-41) into word speech information on the basis of each word included in said sentence (column 7, lines 5-15) using model phoneme array information

(column 5, lines 33-41) including an array of phonemes and word boundaries of the sentence (column 7, lines 16-29 with column 9, lines 24-39);

likelihood determination means (figure 1, element 40 with figure 2, element 280) for evaluating degree of matching (similarity) of each said word speech information with a model speech (figure 1 with column 5, lines 10-16 and column 7, lines 43-48); and

display output means (figure 1,element 30) for displaying, for each said word, a resultant evaluation (figure 1, element 40) determined by said likelihood determination means (figure 1 with column 5, lines 10-27), but does not specifically teach a storage device and that the word separation means separate said sentence speech information on the basis of each word included in said sentence using model phoneme array information.

Brandow teaches word separation means separate said sentence speech information (segment speech) on the basis of each word included in said sentence using model phoneme array information (column 1, lines 21-35), to recognize the most probable words for each group.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shpiro's device, to include an array of phonemes and word boundaries of the sentence, as taught by Brandow, to recognize the most probable words for each group by capturing and representing patterns of variation of the phonemes into phoneme groups (column 1, lines 21-35).

Art Unit: 2626

Shpiro in view of Brandow teaches a foreign learning device, but does not specifically teach a storage device storing model phoneme array information including an array of phonemes and word boundaries of a sentence to be spoken by a learner.

Bruckert teaches a device comprising a storage device storing model phoneme array information (phoneme array) including an array of phonemes and word boundaries (word boundaries; column 4, line 50 – column 5, line 55 with column 7, lines 1-16) of a sentence to be spoken by a learner (sentences to be spoken; column 2, line 22 – column 4, line 9), in order to produce a desired synthetic spoken pattern.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shpiro in view of Bruckert's device wherein it comprises a storage device storing model phoneme array information including an array of phonemes and word boundaries of a sentence to be spoken by a learner, as taught by Bruckert, so that the sound of the synthesized speech may be produced by more accurately timing the rhythm to correspond with rhythm elements of the language (column 1, lines 5-42).

Regarding **claims 2, 5, and 16**, Shpiro discloses the foreign language learning device further comprising storage means (figure 2, element 120) for storing a model sentence to be pronounced by said learner (prerecorded speech models) and model phoneme array information which corresponds to said model sentence (multiplicity of phonemes) and concerns the whole of said model sentence (column 5, lines 33-41 with column 8, lines 2-7), wherein

Art Unit: 2626

said display output means (figure 1, element 30) presents said model sentence to said learner in advance (figure 5A), and

said word separation means (figure 3, element 270) includes

phoneme recognition means (reference audio for phonemes) for recognizing said sentence speech information (words/phrases) on the basis of each phoneme information (column 5, lines 33-41 with column 7, lines 5-15 and column 7, line 65 – column 8, line 7), and

word speech recognition means for recognizing said word speech information (response specimen) for each said word according to said phoneme information (phonetic unit/phoneme) and said model phoneme array information after the separation (column 5, lines 33-41 with column 7, lines 5-15 and column 7, line 65 – column 8, line 7).

Regarding **claims 3, 6 and 17**, Shpiro discloses the foreign language learning device wherein

said phoneme recognition means (figure 1) includes phoneme likelihood determination means (figure 1, element 40 with figure 3, element 280) for determining likelihood of each phoneme information (most similarity) in said sentence speech information (student's response), with respect to each of phonemes that can be included in said foreign language (British/American dialect; column 7, line 34 – column 8, line 14), and

said likelihood determination means (figure 3, element 280) evaluates the degree of matching of each said word speech information (evaluating the student responses;

Application/Control Number: 09/936,365

Art Unit: 2626

column 7, lines 33-41) by comparing, on a likelihood distribution plane of phoneme information (figure 1 with column 5, lines 10-16 and lines 23-27 with column 7, lines 33-48) in said sentence speech information (figure 2, element 520 with column 9, lines 2-3), each word likelihood determined along a path followed when pronunciation follows a phoneme array exactly the same as said model phoneme array information (column 5, lines 33-41 and lines 57-65 with column 8, lines 6-14) with the sum of word likelihoods (figure 5B, element 530) determined along mistakenly utterable candidate paths from a speech waveform of pronunciation by the learner (graphic representations of the waveforms; figures 6-11).

Regarding **claims 7 and 18**, Shpiro discloses the foreign language learning device further comprising the step of evaluating a resultant pronunciation by said learner after practice of the pronunciation (audio specimen to be practiced; column 8, lines 40-45), said evaluation (evaluating) made on the basis of each said phoneme and said word in said model sentence uttered (student's responses) by said learner (column 7, lines 34-48).

Regarding claims 8 and 19, Shpiro discloses the foreign language learning method wherein

said step of evaluating a resultant pronunciation after practice thereof includes the step of displaying a vocal tract shape model (graphic representation of the waveform) for each said phoneme via a display unit to said learner (figure 1, element 30 with figures 6-11).

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding **claims 9 and 20**, Shpiro discloses the foreign language learning device wherein

said step of evaluating a resultant pronunciation after practice thereof includes the step of displaying, via a display unit (figure 1, element 30) to said learner, a model voice print (figure 1, element 32) and a voice print concerning pronunciation by said learner (figure 1, element 34), said voice prints being compared with each other to be displayed (figure 1 with column 5, lines 10-16 and column 9, lines 24-35).

Regarding claims 10 and 21, Shpiro discloses the foreign language learning method wherein

said step of evaluating a resultant pronunciation after practice (figure 1, element 40) thereof includes the step of displaying, via a display unit (figure 1, element 30) to said learner, position of pronunciation by said learner on a formant plane (figure 1 with column 5, lines 61-65 and column 8, lines 2-7).

5. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shpiro et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,487,671), hereinafter referenced as Shpiro in view of Acero (USPN 6,708,154) and in further view of Bruckert.

Regarding **claim 11**, Shpiro discloses a foreign language learning device comprising:

storage means (figure 2, element 120) for storing a model sentence to be pronounced by a learner (prerecorded speech models) and model phoneme array

Application/Control Number: 09/936,365

Art Unit: 2626

information corresponding to said model sentence (multiplicity of phonemes; column 5, lines 33-41 with column 8, lines 2-7);

display output means (figure 1, element 30) for presenting said model sentence to said learner in advance (figure 5A);

word separation means (figure 3, element 260) for receiving sentence speech information (phonetic unit) corresponding to a sentence pronounced by said learner (student; column 7, lines 5-15) to separate the sentence speech information (phrases; column 5, lines 33-41) into word speech information on the basis of each word included in said sentence (column 7, lines 5-15);

likelihood determination means (figure 1, element 40 with figure 2, element 280) for evaluating degree of matching (similarity) of each said word speech information with a model speech (figure 1 with column 5, lines 10-16 and column 7, lines 43-48) on a likelihood distribution plane (column 5, lines 10-16 and lines 23-27 with lines 33-48); and

display output means (figure 1, element 30) for displaying, for each phoneme and each said word, a resultant evaluation (figure 1, element 40) by said likelihood determination means (figure 1 with column 5, lines 10-27),

said word separation means (figure 3, element 270) including

phoneme recognition means (reference audio for phonemes) for recognizing said sentence speech information (word/ phrases) on the basis of each phoneme information (column 5, lines 33-41 with column 7, lines 5-15 and column 7, line 65 – column 8, line 7), and

Art Unit: 2626

word speech recognition means for recognizing said word speech information (response specimen) for each said word according to said phoneme information (phonetic unit/phoneme) and said model phoneme array information after the separation (column 7, lines 5-15 and column 7, line 65 – column 8, line 7), and

said foreign language learning device further comprising pronunciation evaluation means for evaluating a resultant pronunciation after practice of the pronunciation (audio specimen to be practices; column 8, lines 40-45) for each said phoneme and for each said word in said model sentence uttered (student's responses) by said learner in a pronunciation practice period (column 7, lines 34-48), but does not specifically teach that the a storage device and word separation means include an array of phonemes and word boundaries of the sentence.

Acero teaches a word separation means (figure 4, element 294) to separate said sentence speech information into word speech information on the basis of each word included in said sentence using model phoneme array information including an array of phonemes and word boundaries of the sentence (column 5, lines 11-20), to provide better smoothing during formant tracking.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shpiro's device, to include an array of phonemes and word boundaries of the sentence, as taught by Acero, to identify the most likely sequence of formant groups based on training text (column 5, lines 11-20).

Shpiro in view of Acero teaches a foreign learning device, but does not specifically teach a storage device storing model phoneme array information including an array of phonemes and word boundaries of a sentence to be spoken by a learner.

Bruckert teaches a device comprising a storage device storing model phoneme array information (phoneme array) including an array of phonemes and word boundaries (word boundaries; column 4, line 50 – column 5, line 55 with column 7, lines 1-16) of a sentence to be spoken by a learner (sentences to be spoken; column 2, line 22 – column 4, line 9), in order to produce a desired synthetic spoken pattern.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shpiro in view of Acero's device wherein it comprises a storage device storing model phoneme array information including an array of phonemes and word boundaries of a sentence to be spoken by a learner, as taught by Bruckert, so that the sound of the synthesized speech may be produced by more accurately timing the rhythm to correspond with rhythm elements of the language (column 1, lines 5-42).

Regarding **claim 12**, Shpiro discloses the foreign language learning method wherein

said step of evaluating a resultant pronunciation after practice thereof includes the step of displaying a vocal tract shape model (graphic representation of the waveform) for each said phoneme via a display unit to said learner (figure 1, element 30 with figures 6-11).

Regarding **claim 13**, Shpiro discloses the foreign language learning device wherein

said step of evaluating a resultant pronunciation after practice thereof includes the step of displaying, via a display unit (figure 1, element 30) to said learner, a model voice print (figure 1, element 32) and a voice print concerning pronunciation by said learner (figure 1, element 34), said voice prints being compared with each other to be displayed (figure 1 with column 5, lines 10-16 and column 9, lines 24-35).

Regarding **claim 14**, Shpiro discloses the foreign language learning method wherein

said step of evaluating a resultant pronunciation after practice (figure 1, element 40) thereof includes the step of displaying, via a display unit (figure 1, element 30) to said learner, position of pronunciation by said learner on a formant plane (figure 1 with column 5, lines 61-65 and column 8, lines 2-7).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jakieda R. Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-7619. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 7:30 a.m. to 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JRJ July 19, 2007

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600